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Abstract. In this paper we present a method for integrating information assurance requirements into agile and rapid technology development. This integrated approach advocates involvement of the information assurance expert at the onset of system development and design—building requirements in proactively. The focus is on collaboration, continuous monitoring, and leveraging automated testing for formal validation.

Introduction
As “agile” software development becomes more common in government and industry, it poses a challenge: integrating Information Assurance (IA) into system requirements and the development process. How do we take a gate-styled serial process, segment it into increments, and then integrate it? How do we move IA requirements from right to left in the development schedule, instead of tacking them onto the end? How do we document that process incrementally and obtain acceptance of the security posture by the approval authority? At Marine Corps Systems Command, the Information Assurance Management Team supporting Program Manager Marine Intelligence has developed an integrated information-assurance process, called “agile IA,” to address these issues and better support agile software development.

Collaborate, Integrate, Automate
The integrated or “agile IA” approach encourages a rapid, flexible response to vulnerabilities that emerge as the system is developed. The key difference between agile IA and the legacy approach is the integration of security at the onset of system development, allowing proactive attention to requirements. The IA subject-matter expert is involved in development from day one, and is part of the agile development team, which addresses and prioritizes IA requirements during sprint planning. Automated scanning, which includes automated code-review tools, allows the expert to monitor the system continuously as it is being developed. As security requirements are identified, they are reported in the information-technology security plan of actions and milestones. By “baking” security into the product, agile IA reduces security risk at any point in development and minimizes redundancy in the hardening process. Figure 2 reflects the cycle of continuous monitoring and proactive remediation and tracking.
The Software Maintenance Group at Hill Air Force Base is recruiting civilians (U.S. Citizenship Required). Benefits include paid vacation, health care plans, matching retirement fund, tuition assistance, and time paid for fitness activities. Become part of the best and brightest!

Hill Air Force Base is located close to the Wasatch and Uinta mountains with many recreational opportunities available.

Send resumes to: 309SMXG.SODO@hill.af.mil or call (801) 775-5555

Figure 2 – Continuous monitoring and remediation tracking process

C&A also poses the usual timeline challenges. In the agile approach, artifacts are created incrementally as the system develops. IA controls are documented as the information becomes available. Documentation is limited to the minimum required to satisfy the approving authority requirement to depict the system security posture.

Lastly, and more significantly, a certified validator is engaged to leverage the results of the continuous monitoring already occurring during each sprint. This enables the validator to leverage the test results for formal validation and verification, and perform a shortened “hybrid” style of IV&V. The formal IV&V event is reduced to minimally disruptive administrative checks and audits, obviating the intensive auditing that typically interrupts system development. System development is allowed to continue during the IV&V audit.

Figure 3 depicts how continuous monitoring can be tracked to reflect the changing baseline of a system given its vulnerability findings. Note that in the beginning of the monitoring, the number of findings is high. As the system is developed and remediation occurs, findings are reduced. However, fluctuations in the baseline should be expected as system requirements are added.
Conclusion
Integration of security configuration requirements at initial stages of system development eliminates the legacy practice of reactionary IA implementation. Introducing IA expertise at the onset of development provides a more holistic approach to identifying and implementing security requirements. The agile IA approach can be implemented within other development methods and should not be practiced for agile development alone. It allows an incremental and controlled approach for IA implementation and ensures a more secure posture of a capability or product release. Figure 4 depicts a sprint timeline with concurrent activities per sprint to include security configuration, functional testing, continuous monitoring, formal auditing, and incremental C&A package creation.

Integrated IA will reduce the schedule slippage and unplanned costs that are inherent when IA is bolted on at the end of system development. It will also reduce redundancy in system-hardening processes, leverage auditing activity for multiple purposes, and produce an artifact package that more quickly depicts the system's security posture. More importantly, it will ensure that capabilities or products provided to the warfighter are less vulnerable to exploitation.
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